SolidStandards workshop





Voluntary vs. mandatory sustainability criteria for solid biomass

Tuesday 7 June, 10:20-13:30



Salon Koch, International Congress Center, Berlin, Germany





Chair: Dr. Martin Junginger, Utrecht University



Universiteit Utrecht







² General remarks / house-keeping



- Please switch your phone to silent mode / preferably turn it off
- Questions: unless for immediate clarification, please keep your questions till the discussion at the end of the workshop (exception: Luc Pelkmans)
- If you ask a question, please use the microphone and state your name and affiliation first
- Presentations and a workshop summary will be published online end of June on <u>www.solidstandards.eu</u>
- Please fill in and sign the attendance list



Do we need EU-wide harmonized mandatory sustainability criteria at all?

Yes / No



If a minimum GHG emission reduction threshold were to be introduced, it should be:

- a) Above 35% GHG reduction
- b) Above 50% GHG reduction
- c) Above 60% GHG reduction
- d) Above 70% GHG reduction
- against a EU-wide fossil fuel comparator for heat and electricity.



If criteria were to be introduced, the EC is considering a size of 1 MWth / MWe and above for end-users requiring proof of sustainability certification. This size is:

- a) Too small
- b) About right
- c) Too high



Should woody biomass directly sourced from forests for bioenergy only come from sustainablymanaged forests, i.e. require certification (such as FSC or PEFC)?

a) Yes

b) No

SolidStandards









The SolidStandards project is co-funded by the European Union under the Intelligent Energy Europe Programme (Contract No. EIE/11/218). The sole responsibility fort he content of this publication lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Union. Neither the EACI nor the European Commission are responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

EUROPE

Thank you!







